arXiv:1604.03406v1 [math.CV] 12 Apr 2016

CLUSTER POINTS OF JUMPING COEFFICIENTS AND EQUISINGULARTIES OF PLURISUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

QI'AN GUAN AND ZHENQIAN LI

Abstract. In this article, we will construct a plurisubharmonic function whose jumping coefficients have a cluster point. We also give a class of plurisubharmonic functions which cannot be equisingular to any plurisubharmonic function with generalized analytic singularities.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Cluster points of jumping coefficients.** Let X be a complex manifold of dimension n and φ a quasi-plurisubharmonic (abbr. quasi-psh) function on X. The *multiplier ideal sheaf* $\mathscr{I}(\varphi)$ is defined to be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions f such that $|f|^2e^{-2\varphi}$ is locally integrable, which is a coherent sheaf of ideals (see [2]).

Let $x \in X$ be a point. By the solution to Demailly's strong openness conjecture [7], i.e.,

$$\mathscr{I}(\varphi) = \mathscr{I}_+(\varphi) := \bigcup_{\varepsilon>0} \mathscr{I}((1+\varepsilon)\varphi),$$

which was posed by Demailly in [1], there is an increasing sequence

$$0 = \xi_0(\varphi; x) < \xi_1(\varphi; x) < \xi_2(\varphi; x) < \cdots$$

of real numbers $\xi_k = \xi_k(\varphi; x)$ such that $\mathscr{I}(c\cdot\varphi)_x = \mathscr{I}(\xi_k\cdot\varphi)_x$ for $c \in [\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})$ and

$$\mathscr{I}(\xi_{k+1}\cdot\varphi)_x \subsetneqq \mathscr{I}(\xi_k\cdot\varphi)_x \quad \text{for every } k.$$

If the Lelong number $\nu(\varphi, x) = 0$, we put $\xi_1 = +\infty$.

Definition 1.1. ([5], [3]). The real numbers $\xi_k(\varphi; x)$ is called the *jumping coefficients* of *jumping numbers* of φ at x. We say that ξ is a jumping coefficient of φ on an analytic set $A \subset X$ if it is a jumping coefficient of φ at some point $x \in A$. The collection of all jumping coefficients of φ at x is denoted by $\mathrm{Jump}(\varphi; x)$.

By the strong openness property of multiplier ideal sheaves, we know that $\mathrm{Jump}(\varphi; x)$ satisfies the descending chain condition (DCC): any decreasing sequence of jumping coefficients stabilizes. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\mathrm{Jump}(\varphi; x)$ does not satisfy ACC. By a discussion on Hironaka's log resolution [3], the sequence (ξ_k) will go to infinity when φ has analytic singularities, i.e., φ can be written locally as

$$\varphi = \frac{c}{2}\log\big(\sum_{k=1}^{m}|f_k|^2\big) + O(1),$$

Date: April 13, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32C35, 32U05, 32U25.
The first author was partially supported by NSFC-11522101 and NSFC-11431013.
Key words. Plurisubharmonic function, Multiplier ideal sheaf, Lelong number, Equisingularity.

where $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and f_k are holomorphic functions. However, the sequence (ξ_k) will not go to infinity for general plurisubharmonic functions as we will present, which is very different from the algebraic case. One can refer to [5] and [3] for more properties of jumping coefficients.

In the present subsection, we will construct a plurisubharmonic function φ such that $\mathrm{Jump}(\varphi; x)$ has a cluster point, i.e., there exists a jumping coefficient ξ_{k_0} such that for every small enough $\varepsilon > 0$ we have a jumping coefficient $\xi_k \in (\xi_{k_0} - \varepsilon, \xi_{k_0})$. Indeed, we will prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a plurisubharmonic function φ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ $(n \geq 2)$ such that 1 is a cluster point of $\mathrm{Jump}(\varphi; o)$.*

By Theorem 1.1, one can obtain that the plurisubharmonic function φ will not be equisingular to any plurisubharmonic function with analytic singularities (here, "equisingular" for φ_1 and φ_2 means that $e^{-2\varphi_1} - e^{-2\varphi_2}$ is locally integrable). We will consider general case in the next subsection.

1.2. **Equisingular problem on plurisubharmonic functions.** In [1] (see also [2]), Demailly proved that, for any given quasi-psh function φ on compact Hermitian manifold X, one can choose equisingular quasi-psh functions φ_j $(j = 1, 2, ...)$ on X, which are smooth outside the poles and decreasingly convergent to φ. In [6], Guan showed that the above result does not hold if φ_j are supposed to have analytic singularities.

A plurisubharmonic function φ will be said to have *generalized analytic singularities* if for any point $x_0 \in X$ there exists a ball $B_r(x_0)$ such that for every complex line $L \subset B_r(x_0)$, $\varphi|_L$ has analytic singularities. The set of plurisubharmonic functions on X with generalized analytic singularities is denoted by $\mathcal{E}(X)$. In particular, $\mathcal{E}(X)$ contains any $\varphi \in Psh(X)$, which can be written locally as

$$\varphi = \log\big(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{k=1}^{m_j}|f_{jk}|^{\lambda_{jk}}\big) + O(1),$$

where $\lambda_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and f_{jk} are holomorphic functions.

Now, it is natural to put forward such a question:

Question. *For any given $\varphi \in Psh(X)$, can one choose $\varphi_A \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ such that φ_A is equisingular to φ?*

In this subsection, we establish the following result on equisingularties of plurisubharmonic functions.

Theorem 1.2. *Let χ be a convex increasing function on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\lim_{t\to-\infty}\chi'(t) = C_0 > 0$. Let φ be a plurisubharmonic function near $o \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $C_0 \cdot \nu(\varphi, o) \geq n$ and $\varphi_A \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ has generalized analytic singularities. If $e^{-2\chi\circ\varphi} - e^{-2\varphi_A}$ is locally integrable near o, then $\lim_{t\to-\infty}|\chi(t) - C_0 t| < \infty$.*

Remark 1.1. (1) By Theorem 1.2, for any plurisubharmonic function φ near $o \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\nu(\varphi, o) > 0$, there exists a convex increasing function χ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $e^{-2\chi\circ\varphi} - e^{-2\varphi_A}$ is not locally integrable near o.

(2) By a similar discussion in [6], we have that, for any complex manifold X (compact or noncompact) with $\dim X \geq 2$ and $z_0 \in X$, there exists a quasi-psh

function φ on X such that for any plurisubharmonic function φ_A with generalized analytic singularities near z_0, $e^{-2\varphi}-e^{-2\varphi_A}$ is not locally integrable near z_0.

Note that in Theorem 1.2, the Lelong number $\nu(\chi\circ\varphi,o)\geq n$ is necessary. For Lelong number near 1 case, following from the plurisubharmonic function φ as in Theorem 1.1 (M large enough), we obtain

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\varphi\in Psh(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the plurisubharmonic function as in Theorem 1.1 and φ_A a plurisubharmonic function with generalized analytic singularities near the origin o. Then, $e^{-2\varphi}-e^{-2\varphi_A}$ is not locally integrable near o.*

2. Some known results

To prove main results, the following special case of Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem and Siu's decomposition theorem are necessary.

Theorem 2.1. ([4], Theorem 2.1). *Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded pseudoconvex domain, and let H be an affine linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ of codimension $p\geq 1$ given by an orthogonal system s of affine linear equations $s_1=\cdots=s_p=0$. For every $\beta<p$, there exists a constant $C_{\beta,n,\Omega}$ depending only on β,n and the diameter of Ω, satisfying the following property. For every plurisubharmonic function $\varphi\in Psh(\Omega)$ and $f\in\mathcal{O}(\Omega\cap H)$ with $\int_{\Omega\cap H}|f|^2e^{-\varphi}d\lambda_H<+\infty$, there exists an extension $F\in\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ of f such that*

$$\int_\Omega|F|^2|s|^{-2\beta}e^{-\varphi}d\lambda_n\leq C_{\beta,n,\Omega}\int_{\Omega\cap H}|f|^2e^{-\varphi}d\lambda_H,$$

where $d\lambda_n$ and $d\lambda_H$ are the Lebesgue volume elements in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and H respectively.

Theorem 2.2. (see [2], Theorem 2.18). *Let T be a closed positive current of bidimension (p,p). Then T can be written as a convergent series of closed positive currents*

$$T=\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\lambda_k[A_k]+R,$$

where $[A_k]$ is a current of integration over an irreducible analytic set of dimension p, and R is a residual current with the property that $\dim E_c(R)<p$ for every $c>0$. This decomposition is locally and globally unique: the sets A_k are precisely the p-dimensional components occurring in the upperlevel sets $E_c(T)$, and $\lambda_k=\min_{x\in A_k}\nu(T,x)$ is the generic Lelong number of T along A_k.

The proof of Lemma 4.7 in [8] implies

Lemma 2.3. *Let $p:\Delta^2\to\Delta$, $z=(z_1,z_2)\mapsto z_1$ and $\varphi\in Psh(\Delta^2)$. For almost all $z_1\in\Delta$ (in the sense of the Lebesgue measure on Δ), the level set of Lelong numbers of $\varphi|_{p^{-1}(z_1)}$ satisfies*

$$\{z|\nu(\varphi|_{p^{-1}(z_1)},z)=0\}=(\{z|\nu(\varphi,z)=0\}\cap p^{-1}(z_1)).$$

For the proof of Theorem 1.2, we also need the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 2.4. *Let φ_1,φ_2 be two subharmonic functions near $o\in\mathbb{C}$. If $e^{-2\varphi_1}-e^{-2\varphi_2}$ is locally integrable near o and the Lelong number $\nu(\varphi_1,o)\geq 1$, then $\nu(\varphi_1,o)=\nu(\varphi_2,o)$.*

Proof. As $e^{-2\varphi_1}-e^{-2\varphi_2}$ is locally integrable near o, it follows from $\nu(\varphi_1,o)\geq 1$ that $\nu(\varphi_2,o)\geq 1$.

Suppose that $\nu(\varphi_1,o)=\nu(\varphi_2,o)$ does not hold. Without loss of generality, we put $\nu(\varphi_1,o)>\nu(\varphi_2,o)$. Let $a\in(\nu(\varphi_2,o),\min\{\nu(\varphi_1,o),\nu(\varphi_2,o)+1\})$. Then, $\varphi_i-(a-1)\log|z|$ are subharmonic near o and

$$\nu(\varphi_1-(a-1)\log|z|,o)>1,\ \nu(\varphi_2-(a-1)\log|z|,o)<1.$$

That is to say $e^{-2(\varphi_1-(a-1)\log|z|)}-e^{-2(\varphi_1-(a-1)\log|z|)}$ is not locally integrable near o. However, the local integrability of $e^{-2\varphi_1}-e^{-2\varphi_2}$ near o implies that

$$|z|^{2(a-1)}(e^{-2\varphi_1}-e^{-2\varphi_2})=e^{-2(\varphi_1-(a-1)\log|z|)}-e^{-2(\varphi_1-(a-1)\log|z|)}$$

is locally integrable near o, which is a contradiction. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\varphi_A=C_1\log|z|+O(1)$ near $o\in\mathbb{C}$ and φ a subharmonic function near $o\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\nu(\varphi,o)=C_2$, where C_1,C_2 are positive constants. Let χ be a convex increasing function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{t\to-\infty}\chi'(t)=C_0>0$ with $C_0C_2\geq k$ for some $k\in\mathbb{N}^+$. If $|z|^{2(k-1)}(e^{-2\chi\circ\varphi}-e^{-2\varphi_A})$ is locally integrable near o, then $\lim_{t\to-\infty}|\chi(t)-C_0t|<\infty$.*

Proof. As $\chi''\geq 0$ and $\lim_{t\to-\infty}\chi'(t)=C_0>0$, we have $\chi'(t)\geq C_0$ for any $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Then, $\chi(t)-C_0t$ is a convex increasing function on $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\lim_{t\to-\infty}|\chi(t)-C_0t|<\infty$ does not hold. Then $\lim_{t\to-\infty}(\chi(t)-C_0t)=-\infty$

Since $\nu(\chi\circ\varphi,o)=C_0C_2\geq k$, then $\nu(\chi\circ\varphi-(k-1)\log|z|,o)\geq 1$. It follows from the integrability of $|z|^{2(k-1)}(e^{-2\chi\circ\varphi}-e^{-2\varphi_A})$ near o that $|z|^{-2(k-1)}e^{-2\varphi_A}$ is not locally integrable near o, which implies that $\varphi_A-(k-1)\log|z|$ is subharmonic near $o\in\mathbb{C}$ and $\nu(\varphi_A-(k-1)\log|z|,o)\geq 1$. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\nu(\chi\circ\varphi-(k-1)\log|z|,o)=\nu(\varphi_A-(k-1)\log|z|,o),$$

which implies $\nu(\chi\circ\varphi,o)=\nu(\varphi_A,o)=C_1\geq k$.

By the assumption, we have $\lim_{z\to 0}(\chi\circ\varphi-C_0\varphi)=-\infty$. Then, we infer from $\varphi\leq C_2\log|z|+O(1)$ that $\lim_{z\to 0}(\chi\circ\varphi-C_0C_2\log|z|)=-\infty$. Hence,

$$\lim_{z\to 0}(e^{-2(\chi\circ\varphi-C_1\log|z|)}-e^{-2(\varphi_A-C_1\log|z|)})=+\infty,$$

It follows from $\nu(\chi\circ\varphi,o)=\nu(\varphi_A,o)=C_1\geq k$ that $|z|^{2(k-1)}(e^{-2\chi\circ\varphi}-e^{-2\varphi_A})$ is not locally integrable near o, which is a contradiction. □

3. Proof of main results

We are now in a position to prove our main results.

***Proof of Theorem* 1.1.** Let

$$\varphi(z)=\log|z_1|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\alpha_k\log(|z_1|+|\frac{z_2}{k}|^{\beta_k}),$$

where $\alpha_k=M^{-k}$, $\beta_k=M^{2k}$ and $M\geq 2$. Then $\varphi\in Psh(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o=(z_1)\cdot\mathcal{O}_n$ and $\nu(\varphi,(0,z_2))=1,\ \forall z_2\neq 0$.

Given $\varepsilon\in(0,1]$. As $(z_1,z_2)\cdot\mathcal{O}_n\subset\mathrm{rad}\mathscr{I}((1-\varepsilon)\varphi)_o$, there exists an integer $N>0$ such that $z_2^N\in\mathscr{I}((1-\varepsilon)\varphi)_o$. Note that $\mathscr{I}((1-\varepsilon)\varphi)_o\subset\mathscr{I}((1-\varepsilon)\varphi_k)_o$ for every k, where $\varphi_k=\log|z_1|+\alpha_k\log(|z_1|+|\frac{z_2}{k}|^{\beta_k})$. To prove the desired result, it is

sufficient to show that for any integer $m>0$, there exists k_0 and $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that $z_2^m\notin\mathscr{I}((1-\varepsilon)\varphi_{k_0})_o,\ \forall\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0]$.

Considering the integration of $|z_2|^m e^{-(1-\varepsilon)\varphi_k}$ over unit bidisk $\Delta\times\Delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Delta\times\Delta}(|z_2|^m e^{-(1-\varepsilon)\varphi_k})^2 d\lambda_2\\
=&\int_{\Delta^*}(\frac{1}{|z_1|^{(1-\varepsilon)(1+\alpha_k)}})^2\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}dz_1\wedge d\bar{z}_1\int_{\Delta}(\frac{|z_2|^m}{(1+\frac{|z_2/k|^{\beta_k}}{|z_1|})^{(1-\varepsilon)\alpha_k}})^2\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_2\\
=&\int_{\Delta^*}(\frac{1}{|z_1|^{(1-\varepsilon)(1+\alpha_k)}})^2\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}dz_1\wedge d\bar{z}_1\int_{\tilde{\Delta}}(\frac{|kz_1^{1/\beta_k}|^{m+1}\cdot|w|^m}{(1+|w|^{\beta_k})^{(1-\varepsilon)\alpha_k}})^2\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}dw\wedge d\bar{w}\\
\geq& C\cdot\int_{\Delta^*}(\frac{1}{|z_1|^{(1-\varepsilon)(1+\alpha_k)-\frac{m+1}{\beta_k}}})^2\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}dz_1\wedge d\bar{z}_1.
\end{aligned}$$

where $C>0$ is some constant and $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the domain of integration in the new variable. Then, for any m, it follows from $\alpha_k=M^{-k}$ and $\beta_k=M^{2k}$ that there exists k_0 and $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that $(1-\varepsilon_0)(1+\alpha_{k_0})-\frac{m+1}{\beta_{k_0}}\geq 1$, which implies $z_2^m\notin\mathscr{I}((1-\varepsilon)\varphi_{k_0})_o,\ \forall\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0]$. □

***Proof of Theorem* 1.2.** Let

$$\xi:\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{C}^n,\ z\mapsto(z_1,z_1z_2,\ldots,z_1z_n)$$

be a holomorphic mapping. Then ξ is an isomorphism outside $\{z_1=0\}$. Hence, for sufficiently small polydisc Δ_r^n, we have

$$\int_{\Delta_r^n}|z_1|^{2(n-1)}(e^{-2\chi(\varphi\circ\xi)}-e^{-2(\varphi_A\circ\xi)})d\lambda_n=\int\limits_{\xi(\Delta_r^n)\setminus\{z_1=0\}}(e^{-2\chi\circ\varphi}-e^{-2\varphi_A})d\lambda_n<\infty.$$

That is to say, for almost every $(z_2,...,z_n)\in\Delta_r^{n-1}$, we have

$$\int_{\Delta_r}|z_1|^{2(n-1)}(e^{-2\chi(\varphi\circ\xi)}-e^{-2(\varphi_A\circ\xi)})d\lambda_1<\infty.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\lim\limits_{t\to-\infty}|\chi(t)-C_0t|<\infty$. □

***Proof of Theorem* 1.3.** It is enough to prove the dimension two case. In fact, if $e^{-2\varphi}-e^{-2\varphi_A}$ is locally integrable near the origin $o=(o',o'')$ for general n ($n\geq3$) case, then for almost all $(a_3,...,a_n)\in\mathbb{C}^{n-2}$ near o'', $\left(e^{-2\varphi}-e^{-2\varphi_A}\right)|_{\{z_3=a_3,\ldots,z_n=a_n\}}$ is locally integrable near o', which is a contradiction.

Assume that $e^{-2\varphi}-e^{-2\varphi_A}$ is integrable on a relatively compact neighborhood U_0 of o. Then, $e^{-2c\varphi}-e^{-2c\varphi_A}$ is integrable on U_0 for any $0\leq c\leq1$. As $\mathscr{I}(\varphi)=(z_1)\cdot\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ on U_0, it follows that $e^{-2\varphi_A}$ is not locally integrable near $\{z_1=0\}|_{U_0}$. By Theorem 2.2, on U_0, we have $\varphi_A=\lambda\log|z_1|+\psi$, where $\lambda\geq1$ and ψ is a plurisubharmonic function near o such that $(\{z_1=0\},o)\not\subset(\{\nu(\psi,z)\geq c\},o),\ \forall c\in\mathbb{Q}^+$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $\psi|_{z_1=0}\not\equiv-\infty$.

If $\lambda>1$, then for every $z_2\neq0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that $\varphi_A\leq(1+\varepsilon_0)\log|z_1|$ near $(0,z_2)$. Hence, $e^{-2\frac{\varphi_A}{1+\varepsilon_0}}\geq e^{-2\log|z_1|}$ is not locally integrable near $(0,z_2)$, which is a contradiction to the local integrability of $e^{-2\frac{\varphi}{1+\varepsilon_0}}$ near $(0,z_2)$. Hence, we have $\varphi_A=\log|z_1|+\psi$ on U_0.

Since $\psi|_{z_1=0} \not\equiv -\infty$, then the Lelong number $\nu(\psi|_{z_1=0}, o') < \infty$. Hence, we have an integer number $N > 0$ such that

$$z_2^N \in \mathscr{I}(\psi|_{z_1=0})_o \subset \mathscr{I}(c\psi|_{z_1=0})_o, \ \forall c \in (0,1).$$

By Theorem 2.1, there exists a holomorphic function F_c on a Stein neighborhood $V_0 \subset\subset U_0$ of o such that

$$F_c(z)|_{V_0\cap\{z_1=0\}} = z_2^N|_{V_0\cap\{z_1=0\}} \text{ and } F_c(z)_o \in \mathscr{I}(c\psi + c\log|z_1|)_o = \mathscr{I}(c\varphi_A)_o.$$

Let $F_c(z) = z_2^N + z_1 \cdot G_c(z)$ for some holomorphic function $G_c(z)$ near o. By the assumption, we have

$$(z_1) \cdot \mathcal{O}_2 = \mathscr{I}(\varphi)_o = \mathscr{I}(\varphi_A)_o \subset \mathscr{I}(c\varphi_A)_o.$$

It follows that $z_2^N = F_c(z) - z_1 \cdot G_c(z) \in \mathscr{I}(c\varphi_A)_o$ for any $c \in (0,1)$. However, by the proof of Theorem 1.1, we know that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$z_2^N \notin \mathscr{I}((1-\varepsilon)\varphi)_o, \ \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0].$$

which is a contradiction to the assumption, i.e., $e^{-2\varphi} - e^{-2\varphi_A}$ is not locally integrable near o. □

Acknowledgements. Both authors would like to sincerely thank our supervisor, Professor Xiangyu Zhou for valuable discussions and useful comments.

The second author is also grateful to Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research for its good working conditions.

References

[1] J.-P. Demailly, *Multiplier ideal sheaves and analytic methods in algebraic geometry*, School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry (Trieste, 2000), 1–148, ICTP Lect. Notes, 6, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2001.

[2] J.-P. Demailly, *Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2010.

[3] J.-P. Demailly, *Extension of holomorphic functions defined on non reduced analytic subvarieties*, preprint, arXiv: 1510.05230.

[4] J.-P. Demailly, J. Kollár, *Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 34 (2001), no. 4, 525–556.

[5] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, K. E. Smith, D. Varolin, *Jumping coefficients of multiplier ideals*, Duke Math. J. 123 (2004), no. 3, 469–506.

[6] Q. A. Guan, *Nonexistence of decreasing equisingular approximations with logarithmic poles*, J. Geom. Anal., published online on March 16, 2016; DOI: 10.1007/s12220-016-9702-2.

[7] Q. A. Guan, X. Y. Zhou, *A proof of Demailly's strong openness conjecture*, Ann. of Math. 182 (2015), 605–616. See also arXiv: 1311.3781.

[8] Q. A. Guan, X. Y. Zhou, *Characterization of multiplier ideal sheaves with weights of Lelong number one*, Adv. Math. 285 (2015), 1688–1705.

Qi'an Guan: School of Mathematical Sciences, and Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China.

E-mail address: guanqian@amss.ac.cn

Zhenqian Li: School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China.

E-mail address: lizhenqian@amss.ac.cn